BEFORE THE

Mederal Communications Commission

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20554

FEB 2 6 1996

In the Matter of)
Definition of Markets for Purposes of the) CS Docket No. 95-178
Cable Television Mandatory Television)
Broadcast Signal Carriage Rules	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS

The Post Company ("Post") herein submits its reply comments in the above-captioned rulemaking proceeding.

Unlike several television licensees filing comments in this proceeding, Post favors retaining, for must-carry purposes, market definitions based on the 1991-1992 Television ADI Market Guide. As pointed out in the Comments of Cole Raywid & Braverman, Section 301(d) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides the Commission sufficient discretion to continue to rely on the existing ADI list. Abandonment of that market definition standard in favor of a standard based on Nielsen Media Research's Designated Market Areas ("DMAs") would create significant disruption in cable carriage lineups, particularly if the change were implemented for purposes of the October 1, 1996 must-carry/retransmission consent election.

No. of Opplies torial Of Grand Of Grand Of Grand Of Grand Of E

MHM\PLEADING\COMMENTS.PST

Although the National Association of Broadcasters ("NAB") favors use in 1996 of DMA-based market definition, its Comments illustrate the extent of disruption that would occur. In comparing Nielsen's 1995-96 DMAs with Arbitron's 1991-92 ADIs, NAB calculated approximately 126 markets would be effected with approximately 79 markets gaining counties and 83 markets losing counties. A switch to a DMA standard would generate a plethora of market modification petitions, filed pursuant to Section 614(h) of the Communications Act, through which both television licensees and cable operators would seek to maintain pre-existing carriage without facing burdensome copyright fees. If the use of DMAs were to be made effective for the October 1, 1996 must-carry/retransmission consent election, television licensees, cable operators and the Commission would be placed under significant time pressure to make the necessary adjustments. The potential exists for repeated reshuffling of cable carriage lineups, much to the disservice to the viewing public.

As indicated in its Comments, Post strongly favors retention of the ADI-based market definition currently in place, as modified pursuant to the Section 614(h) process. But at a minimum, if the Commission determines that a change to a DMA-based market definition is appropriate, that change should not be effective until the 1999 election period, thereby permitting parties sufficient time to respond to the loss and addition of various counties through

the filing of appropriate market modification petitions. Stated simply, the public interest would be disserved by a last-minute switch to DMAs.¹

In sum, Post urges the Commission to continue to use the <u>1991-92 Television ADI</u>

<u>Market Guide</u> to define local television station markets for must-carry purposes. But if a transition to Nielsen's DMAs is to be made at all, the change should not be effective until the 1999 must-carry/retransmission consent election.

THE POST COMPANY

Dennis F Reglev

Matthew H. McCormick

Its Counsel

Reddy, Begley & McCormick 1001 22nd Street, N.W. Suite 350 Washington, D.C. 20037

February 26, 1996

¹ If the Commission decides to switch to a DMA-based market definition for the October 1, 1996 election, the Commission should permit the filing of market modification petitions immediately upon adoption of a <u>Report and Order</u> in this proceeding.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Pamela R. McKethan, hereby certify that on this 26th day of February, 1996, copies of the foregoing REPLY COMMENTS were mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, to the following:

Danford L. Sawyer, Jr. Desoto Broadcasting, Inc. 2065 Cantu Court Sarasota, Florida 34232

Harry F. Cole, Esquire
Bechtel & Cole, Chartered
1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for Press Broadcasting Company, Inc.

Dennis J. Kelly, Esquire
Cordon & Kelly
P.O. Box 6648
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
Counsel for Withers Broadcasting Company of Texas

Eric E. Breisach, Esquire
Christopher C. Cinnamon, Esquire
Howard & Howard
The Phoenix Building, Suite 500
222 Washington Square, North
Lansing, Michigan 48933-1817
Counsel to the Small Cable Business Association

David M. Wittenstein, Esquire
Suzanne M. Underwald, Esquire
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for Cox Communications, Inc.

Katherine S. Payne, Esquire Cox Communications, Inc. 1400 Lake Hearn Drive, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30319

Barry D. Wood, Esquire
Mark A. Brinton, Esquire
Jones, Waldo, Holbrook & McDonough, P.C.
2300 M Street, N.W., Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for United Communications Corporation

Alan C. Campbell, Esquire
Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for Diversified Communications and
KTEN Television Limited Partnership

James E. Dunstan, Esquire
Haley Bader & Potts, P.L.C.
4350 North Fairfax Drive
Suite 900
Arlington, Virginia 22203-1633
Counsel for Great Trails Broadcasting Corp.

Henry L. Baumann, Esquire Benjamin F. P. Ivins, Esquire National Association of Broadcasters 1771 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

James J. Popham, Vice President General Counsel Association of Local Television Stations, Inc. 1320 19th Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20036

Steven J. Horwitz, Esquire Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P. 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20006

Roberts Broadcasting Company 1408 North Kingshighway Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63113

Whitehead Media, Inc. 12144 Classic Drive Coral Springs, Florida 33071 Barry A. Friedman, Esquire
Thompson Hine & Flory, P.L.L.
1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for SL Communications, Inc. and
Costa De Oro Television, Inc.

John I. Stewart, Jr., Esquire
David D. McCurdy, Esquire
Crowell & Moring
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2595
Counsel for Fouce Amusement Enterprises

William J. Roberts, Jr., Esquire Library of Congress P.O. Box 70977 Southwest Station Washington, D.C. 20024

Edward W. Hummers, Jr., Esquire

Daniel L. Brenner, Esquire
Loretta P. Polk, Esquire
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for the National Cable Television Association, Inc.

Marvin Rosenberg, Esquire
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for Evening Post Publishing Co.,
Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc., Paxson Communications Corp.
and Wabash Valley Broadcasting Corp.,

Robert J. Ungar, Esquire Arter & Hadden 1801 K Street, N.W. Suite 400K Washington, D.C. 20006-1301

Pamela R. McKethan